



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED

JUL 24 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re. Patent Application of Jose Walter

USSN 09/909,893

Filed: July 23, 2001

Title: Disposable Articulator Having at Least One Continuous Opening for Acceptance of Stabilization Means

Declaration of Attorney

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

I, Glenna Hendricks, declare that I am the patent attorney of record for the cited application. I hereby declare the following:

On June 18, 2003, I filed a Notice of Intent to Appeal along with a Response to a final office action with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. On that same day I wrote a letter to the inventor and the president of Cbite, Mr. Belleza, suggesting a petition be filed relating to entering of amended claims (Copy attached, advise to client expunged). I received no answer. In a letter dated August 1, 2004 a letter indicating the need to either file a continuation application or a Brief was sent (copy attached). No answer to either letter was received.

During the time when to would have been appropriate to file a Brief with extensions, I spoke to Mr. Walter, asking what they intended to do. I was told that they were making changes and that costs were a major consideration.

In March of this year, Mr. Belleza told me they were concerned about the patent application. I explained that I had not received communication from him

regarding the filing of a petition or brief. He stated that he had not seen the letters from me, and that during and after the moves, some mail may have become lost. Delay since discovery applicant had not intended to abandon was occasioned by requirement for the assignee and the attorney to perform lengthy searches of all files for communications and attempt to find Notice of Abandonment. (Records were now in storage.)

The inventor, when discussing another invention, was asked about the cited application. He had expressed concern for costs and that they were making changes. Hence, I believed the inventor and assignee either did not intend to pursue the matter further or that they had changed attorneys or were pursuing matters on their own.

I further declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true, and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements are made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statement and the like so made may jeopardize the validity of this patent application and any patent that issues therefrom.

Date: 12/20/06 By 



HENDRICKS AND ASSOCIATES
P.O. Box 2509
Fairfax, VA 22031
Telephone: (703) 425-8405 Fax: (703) 425-8406

June 18, 2003

Jose Walter
13987 Big Yankee Lane
Centerville, VA 22020
and
Santy Balleza
Cbite, Inc.
5330 Sandy Point Lane
Clifton, VA 20124

RECEIVED

JUL 24 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Re:
I: USSN 09/909,893 (Amendment and Response)
DISPOSABLE ARTICULATOR HAVING AT LEAST ONE CONTINUOUS
OPENING FOR ACCEPTANCE OF STABILIZATION MEANS

Sirs:

Attached is a copy of the Response, along with the extension of time and notice of intent to appeal, which were filed on June 16, 2003. I have given the Notice of Intent to give some time to discuss matters with the examiner if she will allow some of the claims. That is more cost effective than seeking additional extensions of time.

Also attached is a bill for services and fees.

Yours truly,


Glenna Hendricks

enclosures: copies of documents presented to the U.S. Patent Office
Invoice



HENDRICKS AND ASSOCIATES
P.O. Box 2509
Fairfax, VA 22031
Telephone: (703) 425-8405 Fax: (703) 425-8406

August 1, 2003

Jose Walter and
Santy Balleza
CBITE, Inc.
5330 Sandy Point Lane
Clifton, VA 20124

RECEIVED

JUL 24 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Re: USSN 09/909,893
Title: DISPOSABLE ARTICULATOR HAVING AT LEAST ONE
CONTINUOUS OPENING FOR ACCEPTANCE OF
STABILIZATION MEANS

Sirs:

Further to my communication of July 18, it is essential that we respond in some manner to the Advisory Action from the Examiner. I can file a continuing application or a Brief on Appeal.

UNLESS ACTION IS TAKEN, THIS CASE WILL GO ABANDONED.

Yours truly,

Glenna Hendricks



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re. Patent Application of Jose Walter

USSN 09/909,893

Filed: July 23, 2001

Title: Disposable Articulator Having at Least One Continuous Opening for Acceptance of Stabilization Means

Declaration of Assignee

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RECEIVED

JUL 24 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Sir:

I Declare that I am the president of Cbite, Inc., to which the cited patent application was assigned. The inventor is vice president of this company.

During 2002, namely May 1, 2002 and November 1, 2002, we moved our start-up company twice and were in the process of moving our office. During that time there was some confusion in the office. It appears that communications from our attorney were lost during that period.

In March of 2006, I understood, in a conversation with Ms. Hendricks, our patent attorney, that the application had gone abandoned, that she had filed a Notice of Appeal and that we had never given her permission to take action required to maintain the application. A search of our property leads me to believe that the communications from Ms. Hendricks must have been lost, since I can not find the letters sent by her.


I further declare that at no time did Cbite intend to abandon the application. The abandonment is completely contrary to our intentions. I, therefore, request that the

application be revived to provide pendency with a patent application filed with the petition to revive prepared by our attorney.

I further declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true, and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements are made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statement and the like so made may jeopardize the validity of this patent application and any patent that issues therefrom.

Date: 7-14-06

By



President of Cbite

RECEIVED
JUL 24 2006
OFFICE OF PETITIONS